

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,738	08/28/2003	Suk Won Choi	049128-5124	5697	
9629 7	590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			QI, ZHI QIANG		
	N, DC 20004	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			0001		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- /	
	4	
٦	A	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/649,738	CHOI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mike Qi	2871	

•	Mike Qi	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		100(-) 110 1-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further contact the increase of account to the contact the contact that would require further to the contact that we have the contact the contact that the contact that we have the contact that the contact th	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	· ·	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ster form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	are issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended limitations such as "the liquid crystal is a ferroelectric liquid cryatl of Half V-Switching mode" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

MQ

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER